

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,497	11/08/2001		Henri-Pierre Valero	26.0206	7991
23718	7590	12/18/2003		EXAM	INER
SCHLUMB	ERGER	OILFIELD SERV	LE, TOAN M		
200 GILLIN MD 200-9	GHAM LA	ANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUGAR LAND, TX 77478				2863	

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 5 Educations of time many be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed 5 Educations of craptly specified above is less than flutly (30) days, a reply vision the datatory minimum of thinty (20) days will be considered timely. 1 If the period for reply specified above is less than flutly (30) days, a reply vision the datatory minimum of thinty (20) days will be considered timely. 1 If No period for reply separation does, no maximum statistory prioris vision to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. 5 13.0). 2 If No period for reply separation and state than flutly adults and state to making deplication to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. 5 13.0). 3 If No period of the separation is separation of the communication, event flutly filed, may reduce any seared patent term adjustment. See 37 GFR 1.704(a). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 November 2003 . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are allowed. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 13) Alt by Some * c) None of: 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority documents have been received			A						
Examiner Toam M Le 2653 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Beassoure of time rary be available under the provisions of 3 °C PR 1.135(n), in no event, however, may a raply be timely filed If the point of rary specified above, the maintain standards preirid will standard preirid to 1 standards preirid to 1 standards preirid will be abatilately maintained timely (30) days will be considered timely. If No period for raply is appealed above, the maintain standards preirid will standard preirid to 1 standards preirid to 1 standards preirid will be abatilately maintained timely (30) days will be considered timely. If No period for raply seed and the time three mobiles and the the milling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any contract planted term adjustment. See 3 °C PR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12 November 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final into rallowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are repending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b □ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are rejected. 7c □ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are rejected. 7c □ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are rejected. 7d □ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are rejected. 7d □ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are rejected. 7e □ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are rejected		Application No.							
Total M Le Total M Le Zeb3	Office Action Comments	10/005,497	VALERO ET AL.						
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ederations of team rays be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.35(0). In no event, however, may a reply tail timely filled in the period for reply seguidated above is less than 15 of ZFR 1.35(0). In no event, however, may a reply tail timely filled in the period for reply seguidated above is less than 15 of ZFR 1.35(0). In no event, however, may a reply tail timely filled in the period for reply seguidated above is less than 15 of ZFR 1.35(0). In no event, however, may a reply tail timely filled in the period for reply seguidated than 15 of ZFR 1.75(0). Falls period for reply is specified some. In manifesting and a reply timely replaced than 15 of the some replaced for reply with the solid general and the sequilibration to become 48-MOIDED (30 U.S.C § 1.33). Fill be period for reply is specified above is the sequilibration to be communication (SZFR 1.75(0)). **Status** 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 November 2003. 2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under £x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) □ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are pending in the application. 4) □ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are pending in the application. 4) □ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1.24 is/are objected to. 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection on the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.86(a). 11) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1.54/are. a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 □ T	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of times may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.35(s). In no event, however, may a risply be timely filed Education of the provision of the provision of 37 CPR 1.35(s). In no event, however, may a risply be timely filed If the period for risply is specified above, the maximum statutory principal value apply and will expire 32 K (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for risply is specified above, the maximum statutory principal value apply and value									
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estancians of time may be writing date of this communication. Estancians of time may be writing date of this communication. Estancians of time may be writing date of this communication. It is provided to the provided time of the communication. It is provided to the provided time to the communication. It is provided to the provided time to the communication. It is provided to the provided time to the communication. It is provided to the provided time time the time time the time time to the communication. Failure to reply within the set of extended princial for reply well, by statute, cause the application to become RARNDONED (35 U.S. c. § 133). Any way recorded by the Citical entire than there maining date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any source placet team adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 12 November 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is not-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are allowed. 9) The pecification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The proposed drawing office on is/are: a) scepted or bim objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) scepted or bim objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) T	The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parle Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statused the second of the seco	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3 Copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	<u> November 2003</u> .							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ ☐	This action is non-final.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	on.							
6) ☐ Claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.							
7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a approved b disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Aktnowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 3. Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, ,								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			oved by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	_	• •	•						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,	Examiner.							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)									
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	, , ,								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	- · · · · · ·								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in Applicate	tion No						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	, <u> </u>	· ·							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	,							
		5) Notice of Informal							

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shenoy et al...

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

Art Unit: 2863

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Referring to claim 1, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein the waveform peaks are not classified prior to tracking (col. 3, lines 36-39 and 50-53; col. 22, lines 52-57; figure 5).

As to claim 2, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein the step of generating tracks comprises classifying long tracks; classifying small tracks; classifying tracks that overlap; filling in gaps; and creating a final logs (col. 7, lines 61-67; col. 8, lines 1-27; col. 10, lines 12-22; Tables 1-2).

Referring to claim 3, Kimball et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein filling in gaps further comprises using non-classified tracks to fill gaps (col. 8, lines 27-51).

As to claim 4, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein filling gaps further comprises performing interpolation (col. 8, lines 27-51).

Referring to claim 5, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein interpolation is linear (col. 10, lines 35-67).

Art Unit: 2863

As to claim 6, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein linear interpolation is done if the gaps are less than 6 frames (col. 14, lines 24-67; col. 15, lines 1-67; figures 6-9).

Referring to claim 7, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein filling in gaps further comprising performing interpolation (col. 8, lines 27-51).

As to claim 8, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein tracks are considered as individual objects comprising peaks (figures 5-9).

Referring to claim 9, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein peaks are defined using semblance, time, and slowness (col. 5, lines 55-58; figure 2).

As to claim 10, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein only time and slowness are used for classification (col. 3, lines 53-57).

Referring to claim 11, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks

Art Unit: 2863

received at a plurality of depths, wherein a probability of a track being one of a compressional and shear is determined using all points forming the track (col. 18, lines 9-13).

As to claim 12, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein classification of one track is independent of classification of a track different from that track (col. 20, lines 24-26).

Referring to claim 13, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein step of classifying the long track further comprises: fitting a distribution function on peaks of the track; calculating a mean and variance of the distribution; comparing distribution of the data with a distribution of a model data; and classifying the long track according to the model data if the comparison determines that the track data and model data are consistent (col. 20, lines 26-38).

As to claim 14, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths wherein step of classifying the short tracks further comprises: computing a 2-D median of the track, the median being a point defined by corresponding coordinates in a slowness and time domain; determining an intersection of the slowness and time domain with a model data distribution; defining the model in the slowness and time domain as an ellipse; and classifying the small track based on a position of the peak in relation to the model data (col. 20, lines 26-38; figure 2).

Art Unit: 2863

Referring to claim 15, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein the step of filling in the gaps further comprises: determining if there is a gap in a selected track at a depth range covered by the selected non-classified track; deleting the track if no gap is found; and filling the gap in the selected track after determining that the selected non-classified track can be used to fill the gap (col. 8, lines 27-51).

As to claim 16, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein determining if the selected track can be used to fill the gap is done by evaluating if the selected track is between upper part and lower part of a skeleton, wherein the skeleton comprises tracks that have been classified so far (col. 14, lines 24-67; col. 15, lines 1-67; figures 6-9).

Referring to claims 17-18, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein the long track comprises more than 20 frames and wherein the small track comprises less than or equal to 20 frames (col. 19, lines 27-67; col. 20, lines 1-23).

As to claim 19, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein the model is one of a compressional model or shear model (Abstract).

Art Unit: 2863

Referring to claim 20, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths wherein slowness and time peaks are treated having Gaussian probability distribution (col. 4, lines 56-64).

As to claim 21, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths wherein 2D Gaussian probability distribution of slowness and time peaks is measured at one depth based on measurements at a previous depth (col. 4, lines 39-64).

Referring to claim 22, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths wherein the measurement is done by a 2D Kalman filter process (col. 4, lines 39-64).

As to claim 23, Shenoy et al. disclose a method incorporated into a computer system for determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths, wherein the waveform peaks are not classified prior to tracking (col. 3, lines 36-39 and 50-53; col. 22, lines 52-57; figure 5), wherein the method comprises classifying long tracks, classifying small tracks, classifying tracks that overlap, filling in gaps and creating a final log (col. 7, lines 61-67; col. 8, lines 1-27; col. 10, lines 12-22; Tables 1-2), wherein the method is implemented in a program stored on a storage media and the output is applied to at least one output device (figures 10-11).

Application/Control Number: 10/005,497 Page 8

Art Unit: 2863

Referring to claim 24, Shenoy et al. disclose a method of determining the sonic slowness of a formation traversed by a borehole comprising generating tracks from sonic waveform peaks received at a plurality of depths (col. 3, lines 36-39 and 50-53; col. 22, lines 52-57; figure 5), comprising: a) classifying long tracks of greater than 20 frames, further comprising fitting a distribution function on peaks of the track; calculating a mean and variance of the distribution; comparing distribution of the data with a distribution of a model data; and classifying the long track according to the model data if the comparison determines that the track data and model data are consistent (col. 20, lines 26-38); b) classifying small tracks of less than or equal to 20 frames, further comprising computing a 2-D median of the track, the median being a point defined by corresponding coordinates in a slowness and time domain; determining an intersection of slowness and time domain with a model data distribution; defining the model in the slowness and time domain as an ellipse; and classifying the small track based on a position of the peak in relation to the model data (col. 20, lines 26-38; figure 2); c) classifying tracks that overlap (Tables 1-2); d) filling the gaps, further comprising determining if there is a gap in a selected track at a depth range covered by a selected non-classified track; deleting the track if no gap is found; and filling the gap in the selected track after determining that the selected non-classified track can be used to fill the gap (col. 8, lines 27-51); and e) creating a final log (col. 10, lines 12-22).

Remarks:

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2863

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan M Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-4016. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (703) 308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

Toan Le

December 3, 2003

John Barlow Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

Page 9